

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,070	01/22/2002	Yasunobu Fujita	Q68238	3982	
7:	590 03/11/2003				
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			FOOTLAND,	FOOTLAND, LENARD A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682		
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

St.	

1 3	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/051,070	FUJITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lenard A. Footland	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
,	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.					
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		, , , , ,				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
·	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/090,921</u> .					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/051,070

Art Unit: 3682

States.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(a) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 1-9 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a), as being anticipated by Uchiyama et al. The examiner finds all claimed subject matter to be present.

See col. 5, lines 44-51 and 61-63. The cage oil percentages are considered to generate volume percentages overlapping those claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-17 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Uchiyama et al. as set forth in the rejection

Application/Control Number: 10/051,070

Art Unit: 3682

of claims 1-9 and 18-23 above, and further in view of official notice of common knowledge in the art.

The examiner finds that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a ball bearing in a hard disk drive to facilitate its rotation.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,340,244. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they differ only with respect to matters of wording or verbal surplusage.

The non-statutory type double patenting rejection is a judicially established doctrine based on public policy and is primarily intended to prevent unjustified prolongation of the patent term. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2nd 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(b) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection of this ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R. 1.798(d).

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2683.

Lenard A. Footland

Thund A Torthon

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600 Art Unit 3682

laf March 7, 2003